PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Inventor: David S. Ebbo et al. Attorney Docket No.: 160315.01

Application No.: 09/902,469 Group Art Unit: 2191
Filed: July 10, 2001 Examiner: Mary J. Steelman
Customer No.: 22971 Confirmation Number: 6779

Title: User Control Object for Providing Server-side Code Generation From a User Defined

Dynamic Web Page Content File

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1460

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicants acknowledge the allowance of claims 1–19 in the subject application by the Examiner with appreciation. The Applicants agree with the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that the claims of the present invention are patentable over the references in the record. The Applicants expressly traverse the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that any comment is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope, explicitly or implicitly, by not reciting verbatim the respective claim language, or is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope by stating or implying that all the reasons for patentability are in any way fully enumerated.

Moreover, it appears that the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance reiterates the Examiner's arguments made during prosecution. By virtue of the Examiner's allowance of the claims over the cited references and the associated arguments, it is believed that the previous arguments made by the Examiner have been overcome. Additionally, Applicants specifically do not acquiesce or agree in any manner as to the comments made by the Examiner regarding what the prior does or does not teach. Indeed, Applicants have pointed out the errors in the comments/arguments in Applicants' Response to the Final Office Action.

Comments on Examiners Statement of Reasons for Allowance
Application Number: 09/902,469

Attorney Docket Number: 160315.01

PATENT

The Applicants further point out that the reasons for allowance set forth by the

Examiner are not the only reasons that the claims are allowable. Further reasons for allowance

of the claims beyond those enumerated by the Examiner are described and set forth in the

Applicants' specification. In addition, structures and methods that perform substantially the same function in substantially the same way to achieve substantially the same results are

included within the scope of the claims.

Finally, as the Examiner's reasons for allowance are not exhaustive, such reasons for

allowance do not establish an estoppel against Applicants seeking and obtaining allowance of additional, broader claims in a continuation application, which Applicants reserve the right to

file.

Respectfully submitted, Microsoft Corporation

Date: April 19, 2006

Paul B. Hevnssens.

Registration Number: 47,648

Attorney for Applicants

Direct telephone (425) 707-3913

Microsoft Corporation

One Microsoft Way

Redmond WA 98052-6399

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION
(Under 37 CFR § 1.8(a)) or ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically deposited with the USPTO via EFS-Web on the date shown below:

April 19, 2006

Date

Noemi Tovar